

## Note on a conjecture of Hildebrand regarding friable integers\*

Régis de la Bretèche & Gérald Tenenbaum

**Abstract.** Hildebrand proved that the smooth approximation for the number  $\Psi(x, y)$  of  $y$ -friable integers not exceeding  $x$  holds for  $y > (\log x)^{2+\varepsilon}$  under the Riemann hypothesis and he conjectured that it fails when  $y \leq (\log x)^{2-\varepsilon}$ . This conjecture has been recently confirmed by Gorodetsky by an intricate argument. We propose a short, straight-forward proof.

**Keywords:** Friable integers, Dickman’s function, saddle-point method, Riemann hypothesis.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** primary 11N25.

Let  $\Psi(x, y)$  denote the number of  $y$ -friable integers not exceeding  $x$ , i.e. integers  $n \leq x$  free of prime factors  $> y$ , and let  $\varrho$  denote Dickman’s function, defined as the continuous solution to the delay differential equation

$$v\varrho'(v) + \varrho(v-1) = 0 \quad (v > 1)$$

with initial condition  $\varrho(v) = 1$  ( $0 \leq v \leq 1$ ). For supplementary material on this function, see, e.g. [8; ch. III.5 & III.6]. In [4], Hildebrand proved that, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and with  $u := (\log x)/\log y$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \Psi(x, y) \sim x\varrho(u) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty, \exp\{(\log_2 x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\} \leq y \leq x).$$

Here and in the sequel,  $\log_k$  denotes the  $k$ -fold iterated logarithm. Moreover, in the same paper Hildebrand showed that, under the Riemann hypothesis, (1) persists for  $y > (\log x)^{2+\varepsilon}$  in the form  $\Psi(x, y) \asymp x\varrho(u)$  and he expressed the belief that this fails for  $y \leq (\log x)^{2-\varepsilon}$ .

This latter precision has recently been established by Gorodetsky [3] through a rather involved approach. In this note we present a very short, straightforward proof.

In the following statement,  $\mathcal{D}$  stands for an infinite set of real numbers tending to infinity and, for  $x \geq y \geq 2$ , the quantity  $\alpha = \alpha(x, y)$  is defined by the equation

$$\sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{p^\alpha - 1} = \log x.$$

From [5; (7.6)], we have

$$(2) \quad \alpha = \frac{\log(1 + y/\log x)}{\log y} \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right\} \quad (2 \leq y \leq (\log x)^2),$$

so that  $\alpha = 1 - 1/c + o(1)$  if  $c > 1$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $c \in ]0, 2[$ . For  $y \geq 2$ , put  $x := \exp\{\sum_{p \leq y} (\log p)/(p^{1-1/c} - 1)\}$  if  $c > 1$ , and  $x := \exp y^{1/c}$  otherwise, so that  $y = (\log x)^{c+o(1)}$  in all cases. We then have:*

$$(3) \quad \frac{\Psi(x, y)}{x\varrho(u)} \begin{cases} \geq \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right) \frac{y^{2/c-1}}{(2/c-1)\log y}\right\} & (y \in \mathcal{D}) \text{ if } 1 < c < 2 \\ = \exp\left\{\frac{(\log 4 - 1)\log x}{\log_2 x} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{(\log_2 x)^2}\right)\right\} & \text{if } c = 1, \\ = x^{1/c-1+o(1)} & \text{if } 0 < c < 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Consider first the case  $1 < c < 2$ , so that  $\alpha = 1 - 1/c$ . Let  $\xi = \xi(u)$  denote the unique non-zero solution to the equation  $e^\xi = 1 + u\xi$  when  $u \neq 1$  and put  $\xi(1) := 0$ . From [8; th. III.5.13] and [8; (III.5.110)], we have, as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(4) \quad \xi(u) = \log u + \log_2 u + \frac{\log_2 u}{\log u} + O\left(\frac{(\log_2 u)^2}{(\log u)^2}\right),$$

$$(5) \quad \varrho(u) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi u}} e^{\gamma - u\xi(u) + \int_1^u t\xi'(t) dt},$$

---

\* We are indebted to Ofir Gorodetsky for pointing out that some changes to the published version were necessary. These are included here.

and, writing  $\beta := 1 - \xi(u)/\log y$ ,  $I(s) := \int_0^s (e^v - 1) dv/v$ ,  $f(\sigma) := \sigma \log x + I((1 - \sigma) \log y)$ ,

$$f(\beta) = \log x - u\xi(u) + \int_1^u t\xi'(t) dt.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1[$ , and put  $L(y) := e^{(\log y)^{3/5-\varepsilon}}$ . We have  $f'(\beta) = 0$  and  $f''(\sigma) \geq 0$  ( $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ ), and  $\alpha - \beta \ll 1/L(y)$  by [5; (7.9)]. Therefore  $f(\alpha) \geq f(\beta)$ . Now, the saddle-point estimate proved in [5] implies  $\Psi(x, y) \sim x^\alpha \zeta(\alpha, y) / \{\alpha(\log y) \sqrt{2\pi u}\}$  in the case under consideration, where  $\zeta(s, y) := \prod_{p \leq y} (1 - 1/p^s)^{-1}$ . It follows that

$$(6) \quad \frac{\Psi(x, y)}{x^\alpha \varrho(u)} \geq \left\{ \frac{ce^{-\gamma}}{c-1} + o(1) \right\} e^{\alpha \log x + \log \zeta(\alpha, y) - f(\alpha)} = \left\{ \frac{ce^{-\gamma}}{c-1} + o(1) \right\} e^{\log \zeta(\alpha, y) - I((1-\alpha) \log y)}.$$

It remains to estimate the last exponent. Plainly

$$\log \zeta(\alpha, y) \geq \sum_{p \leq y} \frac{1}{p^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \leq y} \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha}} =: S(\alpha, y) + \frac{1}{2} T(\alpha, y).$$

Put  $w_\sigma := (y^{1-\sigma} - 1) / \{(1 - \sigma) \log y\}$ . In [1; lemma 3.6], it has been shown that  $T(\alpha, y) \sim w_{2\alpha}$  and that the main term for  $S(\alpha, y)$  arising from the prime number theorem is

$$\int_2^y \frac{d \operatorname{li}(t)}{t^\alpha} = \log(w_\alpha \log y) + \int_1^{w_\alpha} t\xi'(t) dt + O(1) = I((1 - \alpha) \log y) + O(\log u).$$

We shall establish the oscillation result

$$(7) \quad S(\alpha, y) - I((1 - \alpha) \log y) = \Omega_\pm \left( \frac{y^{1/2-\alpha} \log_3 y}{\log y} \right).$$

Since  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ , this implies the first estimate in (3).

Let us now prove (7). Put

$$R(t) := \psi(t) - t, \quad \Pi(t) := \sum_{1 < n \leq t} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{\log n}, \quad Q(t) := \Pi(t) - \operatorname{li}(t) \quad (t \geq 2).$$

Furthermore, let  $\Theta := \sup_\varrho \Re \varrho$ , where  $\varrho$  runs over the non trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

If  $\Theta > \frac{1}{2}$ , the argument of [7; th. 15.2] readily yields, for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\int_1^y \frac{dQ(t)}{t^\alpha} = \Omega_\pm (y^{\Theta-\alpha-\varepsilon}),$$

which readily implies (7) in view of the estimate

$$(8) \quad \int_2^y \frac{d\{\pi(t) - \operatorname{li}(t)\}}{t^\alpha} = \int_2^y \frac{dQ(t)}{t^\alpha} + O\left(\frac{y^{1/2-\alpha}}{\log y}\right) \quad (y \geq 2).$$

If  $\Theta = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$(9) \quad \int_1^y \frac{dQ(t)}{t^\alpha} = \int_1^y \frac{dR(t)}{t^\alpha \log t} = \frac{R(y)}{y^\alpha \log y} + J(y) \quad (y \geq 2),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J(y) &:= \int_{3/2}^y \frac{R(t)}{t^{\alpha+1} \log t} \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{\log t} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{3/2}^y \frac{R(t)}{\sqrt{t}} \int_t^\infty \left( \alpha(\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}) + \frac{2\alpha + 1/2}{\log v} + \frac{2}{(\log v)^2} \right) \frac{dv dt}{v^{\alpha+3/2} \log v} \\ &= \int_{3/2}^\infty \left( \alpha(\alpha + \tfrac{1}{2}) + \frac{2\alpha + 1/2}{\log v} + \frac{2}{(\log v)^2} \right) \frac{dv}{v^{\alpha+3/2} \log v} \int_{3/2}^{\min(v, y)} \frac{R(t)}{\sqrt{t}} dt \\ &\ll \int_{3/2}^\infty \frac{\min(v, y) dv}{v^{\alpha+3/2} \log v} \ll \frac{y^{1/2-\alpha}}{\log y}, \end{aligned}$$

where the penultimate bound follows from Cramér's estimate [2] (see also [7; th. 13.5]) conditional to the Riemann hypothesis

$$\int_1^z \frac{R(t)^2}{t} dt \ll z \quad (z \geq 2).$$

Since as, shown by Littlewood [6],

$$R(y) = \Omega_\pm(\sqrt{y} \log_3 y) \quad (y \rightarrow \infty),$$

this completes the proof of (7) by carrying back into (9) taking (8) into account.

The case  $c \leq 1$  may be dealt with by appealing to the formula

$$(10) \quad \log\{x\varrho(u)\} = \frac{c-1}{c} \log x + \frac{(1+\log c) \log x}{c \log_2 x} + \frac{(\log x)(1-\log c)}{c(\log_2 x)^2} + O\left(\frac{(\log x)(\log_3 x)^2}{(\log_2 x)^3}\right).$$

This follows from (4) and the estimate, essentially due to de Bruijn,

$$(11) \quad \log \Psi(x, y) = Z(x, y) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y} + \frac{1}{\log_2 x}\right) \right\} \quad (x \geq y \geq 3),$$

appearing in [8; th. III.5.2] in this form. Note that

$$Z(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{c-1}{c} \log x + \frac{\log x}{c \log_2 x} + \frac{(\log x)^{2-c}}{2c \log_2 x} + O\left(\frac{(\log x)^{3-2c}}{\log_2 x}\right) & \text{if } c > 1 \\ \frac{(\log 4) \log x}{\log_2 x} & \text{if } c = 1 \\ \frac{(1-c)(\log x)^c}{c} + \frac{(\log x)^c}{c \log_2 x} + O\left(\frac{(\log x)^{2c-1}}{\log_2 x}\right) & \text{if } c < 1. \end{cases}$$

This readily implies the last two estimates in (3). □

## References

- [1] R. de la Bretèche & G. Tenenbaum, Propriétés statistiques des entiers friables, *Ramanujan J.* **9** (2005), 139–202.
- [2] H. Cramér, Über die Herleitung der Riemannschen Primzahlformel, *Arkiv för Mat., Astr. och Fys.* **13** (1918), 24.
- [3] O. Gorodetsky, Friable integers and the Dickman  $\varrho$  function, preprint, 2022:arXiv:2211.08973v2.
- [4] A. Hildebrand, On the number of positive integers  $\leq x$  and free of prime factors  $> y$ , *J. Number theory* **22**, n° 3 (1986), 289–307.
- [5] A. Hildebrand & G. Tenenbaum, On integers free of large prime factors, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **296** (1986), 265–290.
- [6] J.E. Littlewood, Sur la distribution des nombres premiers, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **158** (1914), 1869–1872.
- [7] H.L. Montgomery & R.C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative number theory, I. Classical theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 97, 2007.
- [8] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 163, Amer. Math. Soc. 2015; see also *Introduction à la théorie analytique et probabiliste des nombres*, fifth ed., Dunod, Sciences Sup, 2022.

Régis de la Bretèche  
 Université Paris Cité, Sorbonne Université, CNRS  
 Institut de Math. de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche  
 F-75013 Paris  
 France  
 regis.de-la-breteche@imj-prg.fr

Gérald Tenenbaum  
 Institut Élie Cartan  
 Université de Lorraine  
 BP 70239  
 54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex  
 France  
 gerald.tenenbaum@univ-lorraine.fr